

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Processing No 2074-83
R. F. 166 92

Dated 2nd August 1907

Later references.

1903.

(Separate Revenue)

File No. 188 of 02

Serial Nos. 1 to 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 2

Major Part B for August 03

SUBJECT.
Mamdar's claim of Deevati Ramanahalli Village, Bangalore
Taluk to the ^{Excise} Treasury Revenue of the Village

Contents

- Sl. 1. From the Excise Commissioner in Mysore
Docket No 4643. Dated 10th February 1903
Submit the record of Enquiry relating to the Treasury Revenue
of Deevati Ramanahalli, Rayanahalli Village, Bangalore
Taluk
- Sl. 2. To (1) The Excise Commissioner in Mysore (With Record)
(2) The Comptroller the Govt of Mysore
No 110-11 Dated 3rd August 1907
Disallows the claim of the Mamdar of the above
Village to the Treasury Excise Revenue of the Village

GPB-5,000-2200-10

BMM

Office Note.

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

Title No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipt to be entered in red, and free note in black ink in order of dates. To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Branch

84 e e e e

Subject

In case of the claim
of Kanyasulkam Deewali
Ramanahalli Deewali
Dahali

26/7/03

Then a very subtle case.
Yessu name cannot be
claimed by the Kanyasulkam
I agree to the safe order
put up —

26/7/03

11787
18.7.03

E 285

2015

1903

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govt of
H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore
General & Revenue Dept.

Dated

10th

Despatched

13

} February 1903

Received

General No. 4643

No.

276

Enclosure

No.

1 file

Subject

Submits the record of Enquiry
relating to the Toddy Revenue
of Deervati Ramana halli, Kanyan-
gutta Village, Bangalore Taluk.

E/cia

17.2.03

For file
22/2/03

Excise Commissioner's office,
Bangalore. Dated 10th February 1903.
13

N^o. 4643 - Cons. file
276

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of
A. H. The Maharaja of Mysore
General & Revenue Department


Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of Enquiry relating to the Toddy revenue of Deevati Ramana halli, Kayangutta Village, Bangalore Taluk, I have the honour to state that I concur in the investigating officer's opinion that the Kayanguttadar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. Accordingly only $\frac{1}{5}$ of the rent of the "Grove Farm" is payable to him under Rule 4 in Government Proceedings N^o. 2074-83, dated 2nd August 1901.
R.7166-92

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

107

Case nos ³ 7729

K. Devati Ramana
Gulli

Bangalore Taluk

Notice served

90 did in absent

Koch 1a 70ht

12/11

12/11

Decision

The Maudan is not
entitled to 2000, because
this case falls under
clause 16 & 17 of the
S.A. Proceedings

Pragunagallu

16/11

A. Calendar of a claim in Toddy Revenue enquired into by Mr. ⁴
 Mr. Narasimha Rao Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore District

Taluk	Kolli	Village	Name of Landowner	Document relied upon	Witnesses examined	Finding	Amount awarded if any	Ground of decision
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Bangalore	Kengeri	Deenatige Ramanaiah	C. V. Krishnasami Rao	Nil-	C. V. Krishnasami Rao	The Landowner has no right to Toddy Revenue. His case falls under paras 4, 16 & 17 of the <u>Good</u> pro No 2076-83 R & 166-92 DT 2-8-1901.	12 1 2	(i) Non mention of right to Toddy Revenue in the Landowner (ii) Do in Dnam settlement Register (iii) Absence of credible evidence regarding enjoyment of such right (iv) The excise accounts & Registers

16-1-1903-

Deputy Commissioner

16/1/1903

Mysore Govt., Gen. & Rev. Secretariat

Scuse Branch

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja
of Mysore.

File No. (if any) and its date—

11
26/7/03

Read: Le Gen no 4643
276
of 1903. Reliance of 03 from
the Police Commission Mysore,
under the record of enquiry
relating to the murder of
C. C. in the today's
presence of Accountant Ramana
Lalli Rayanna, the trial
Bancalone J. C. L.,
Bancalone J. C. L.

Order:

No 110-11
Ex 7/16-01
127 3/8/03

The nullas of the
contains a few date
trees but no today
sleep. Neither the
dammad nor the
damm Sebbemen
conceded the today
of the presence of the
Rayanna, the trial
too evidence to be
proposed to be
no proof of the right.

Of this revenue from
for 17 1/2 and prior to
1892-93 has been
produced the
claim is therefore
disallowed

2. The Excise Comr is
requested to verify the amounts
allowed by the Ass't Comr under
rule 4 to the Mander & to submit a
refund bill in due course

done by 24/7/12

23/7/13
The Comr. in response
returning records

The Comr. is all
well

Done
21/7

well
29. VII. 03

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Excise, dated 3rd August 1903.*

Read—

Letter No. 4643—276, dated 10—13th February 1903, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the Inamdar's claim to the toddy Excise revenue of Deevati Ramanahalli Kayamgutta village, Bangalore Taluk.

NO. 110-11—EX. F. 16-01, DATED BANGALORE, 3RD AUGUST 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The village contains a few date trees but no toddy shop. Neither the sannad nor the Inam Settlement concedes the toddy Excise revenue to the Kayamguttadar. No proof of the enjoyment of this revenue for 12 years prior to 1892-93 has been produced. The claim is therefore disallowed.

2. The Excise Commissioner is requested to verify the amounts awarded by the Assistant Commissioner under Rule 4 to the Inamdar and to submit a refund bill in due course.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning records.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Exd.—c.v.

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 3rd August 1903

No. 110-11
Ex. F. 16-01

Disallowing the claim of the Inamdar
of Deevati Ramanahalli village, Bangalore
Taluk, to the toddy Excise revenue of
the village.

SBP—24-8-03—50